

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,402	05/03/2004	Ronald H. Naismith	SAA-0105	SAA-0105 3401	
23569 SCHNEIDER	3569 7590 08/24/2007 SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC / SQUARE D COMPANY			EXAMINER	
LEGAL DEPT I.P. GROUP			NGUYEN, DUSTIN		
1415 S. ROSE PALATINE, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2154		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mv				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,402	NAISMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dustin Nguyen	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	<u>//ay 2004</u> .					
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* .	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
,	Administration and attached of the	557,64,611,611,117,162,162.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/03/04, 06/01/04, 06/03/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,402

Art Unit: 2154

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

# Specification

2. Examiner requests Applicants to update status of related cases as mentioned in the disclosure, paragraph 0001.

## Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 9-13 and 15-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - I. As per claims 9-13, "claim 7" should be corrected as "claim 8"
  - II. As per claims 15-18, "claim 12" should be corrected as "claim 14".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatani [ US Patent Application No 2003/0061384 ].
- As per claim 1, Nakatani discloses the invention as claimed including a method of 6. automatically configuring a first automation device connected to a network system using an automation specific protocol [ i.e. a system and method of addressing and configuring a remote device implemented a dynamic device addressing and configuration protocol ] [ Abstract; and paragraphs 0001 and 0010 ], the steps comprising:

searching for an address of a configuration server by said first automation device [ i.e. the IAD broadcast a request for assignment of a dynamic network address ] [ paragraphs 0017, 0051 and 0058];

searching a memory of the configuration server for a configuration designated for said first automation device [ i.e. identify IAD and assign both network address information as well as operational parameters ] [ Figure 6; Abstract; paragraphs 0051-0054 and 0057-0061 ]; and

loading said configuration from the configuration server into said first automation device using the automation specific protocol [i.e. operational parameter assigner may be configured to transmit ] [ paragraphs 0054 and 0061 ].

As per claim 2, Nakatani discloses wherein the automation specific protocol is 7. MODBUS/TCP [ paragraph 0032 ].

Application/Control Number: 10/709,402

Art Unit: 2154

Page 4

- 8. As per claim 4, Nakatani discloses wherein the first automation device is a programmable logic controller [ paragraphs 0034-0036 ].
- 9. As per claim 5, Nakatani discloses wherein the first automation device is an IO module [paragraphs 0022 and 0028].
- 10. As per claim 6, Nakatani discloses scanning a bar code identifier of the first automation device [ i.e. identify the failed IAD ] [ 711, Figure 7; and paragraphs 0020 and 0064 ]; scanning a bar code identifier for a second automation device [ i.e. identify replacement IAD ] [ 712, Figure 7; and paragraphs 0063 and 0064 ]; replacing the bar code identifier for the first automation device in the memory of the configuration in the configuration server with the bar code identifier of the second automation device [ i.e. assign network address and operational parameter ] [ 713-715, Figure 6; and paragraphs 0065-0067 ].
- 11. As per claim 7, Nakatani discloses wherein the search of the memory of the configuration server is performed by the configuration server [ Figure 5; and paragraphs 0049 and 0050 ].
- 12. As per claim 8, Nakatani discloses the invention as claimed including a factory automation system for the automatic configuration of automation devices [ i.e. a system and method of addressing and configuring a remote device implemented a dynamic device

Art Unit: 2154

addressing and configuration protocol ] [ Abstract; and paragraphs 0001 and 0010 ], the system comprising:

a network utilizing an automation specific protocol [Figure 1; and paragraphs 0012 and 0013];

a configuration server connected to the network [Figure 5; and paragraphs 0017 and 0050], containing at least one configuration for the automation devices [i.e. operational parameter] [paragraphs 0054 and 0055], wherein said at least one configuration is available to said automation devices [paragraphs 0073 and 0074];

the automation devices connected to the network, capable of searching for the configuration server on the network utilizing the automation specific protocol [ i.e. the IAD broadcast a request for assignment of a dynamic network address ] [ paragraphs 0017, 0051 and 0058 ], finding a specific configuration within said configuration server [ i.e. identify IAD and assign both network address information as well as operational parameters ] [ Figure 6; Abstract; paragraphs 0051-0054 and 0057-0061 ], and loading the specific configuration [ i.e. operational parameter assigner may be configured to transmit ] [ paragraphs 0054 and 0061 ].

- 13. As per claims 9, 11 and 12, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 2, 4 and 5.
- 14. As per claim 13, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 6 and 8.

Art Unit: 2154

15. As per claims 14, 15, 17 and 18, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 8, 9, 11 and 12.

Page 6

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 3, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatani [ US Patent Application No 2003/0061384 ], in view of Vasko et al. [ US Patent No 7,058,712 ].
- 18. As per claim 3, Nakatani does not specifically disclose wherein the automation specific protocol is MODBUS. Vasko discloses wherein the automation specific protocol is MODBUS [ col 1, lines 50-55; and col 12, lines 38-44]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Nakatani and Vasko because the teaching of MODBUS in Vasko would allow to control multiple applications ranging from complex and highly distributed to more traditional and repetitious application [ Vasko, col 1, lines 55-59].
- 19. As per claims 10 and 16, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,402

Art Unit: 2154

710.02, 710.02(b)).

20. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P

Page 7

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached at (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154